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## INTRODUCTION

Dentofacial asymmetries can present substantial challenges to orthodontic treatment. ${ }^{1}$ They, which can be congenital, developmental, and acquired, are based on discrepancies in the two halves of the face with reference to size, form, and arrangement of facial landmarks.
Class II subdivision malocclusions show more than half-step Class II occlusion on one side of the dental arch and Class I molar occlusion on the other side of the dental arch. They attribute to $50 \%$ of all Class II malocclusions and are one of the most frequent dental asymmetries in the orthodontic population. ${ }^{2}$ Cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) can be used to examine skeletal and dental asymmetries in Class II subdivision malocclusions and other morphological features of the craniofacial structures of facial asymmetry. ${ }^{3}$


Mandibular asymmetry (skeletal) was the primary factor that contributed to Angle Class II subdivision malocclusions. Class II side had shorter total mandibular length and ramus height and deviated mandibular dental midline landmarks (pogonion and menton). Mandibular dental landmarks were positioned more latero-posterio-superiorly. ${ }^{4}$

## OBJECTIVE

To evaluate whether subjects with Angle Class II subdivision malocclusions have a significant skeletal contribution to the asymmetric malocclusion utilizing 3-dimensional analysis with cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT).

## MATERIALS \& METHODS

## Design

Retrospective study; records collected from University of the Pacific, Arthur A. Dugoni School of Dentistry Graduate Orthodontic Clinic

## Inclusion Criteria

- Have complete initial records and photograph
- Have intraoral scans with occluded models
- Have initial full-volume CBCT
- Have all permanent dentition
- Have at least 3 mm of Class II molar relationship on one side and Class I molar on the other side
- Have all premolars and molars present

Exclusion Criteria

- Have syndromes or history of cleft lip or palate History of prior orthodontic care
- Impacted canines

Sample

- 108 subjects
- 61 females and 47 males
- Age range between 10-63 years; Average: 21 years
- Class II side: 54 Lt (50\%) and 54 Rt (50\%)


## Method

Two calibrated judges located 33 landmarks and generated a 3D analysis for each patient using Anatomage InVivo6 ${ }^{\circledR}$ 3D imaging software.


## Statistics

Paired t-test was used to determine if there are significant differences between Class I and Class II skeletal and dental measurements for all subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=108$ ) and for only those with skeletal asymmetry (Me deviation >2mm to Class II side: Asymmetry group, $\mathrm{N}=34$ ).

- Pearson correlation/linear regression analyzed degrees of skeletal asymmetry and Class II malocclusion for proportionality.


## RESULTS

- Midline landmarks, Menton and Pogonion, had similar distribution while ANS showed less deviation (Figure 1)


Figure 1. Distribution of Menton and ANS Deviations ( $+=$ to the Class II side; - = to the Class I side)

- In the skeletal asymmetry group, Class II side had shorter Ramus and Mandibular total lengths.

| $\underset{(N=34)}{\text { Asymmetry group }}$ | Class Iside |  | Class \|| side |  | Class I- Class II side |  | paired <br> t-test |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | SD | Mean | SD | Mean | SD |  |
| Mn Body Length | 75.59 | 4.12 | 75.31 | 3.80 | 0.28 | 1.93 | NS |
| Ramus length | 64.24 | 6.10 | 61.26 | 5.49 | 2.98 | 3.33 | <. 0001 |
| Mn Total Length | 120.03 | 6.59 | 117.00 | 6.10 | 3.03 | 2.53 | <. 0001 |
| Gonion Angle | 121.42 | 6.61 | 121.73 | 6.69 | -0.30 | 4.31 | NS |
| U6.to.S.perp | 42.75 | 5.58 | 43.93 | 5.88 | -1.17 | 1.45 | <. 0001 |
| L6.to.S.perp | 44.37 | 5.47 | 42.35 | 6.14 | 2.01 | 2.06 | <. 0001 |

- There was a positive correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=0.37, \mathrm{p}=0.03$ ) between mandibular body length difference and degree of Class II malocclusion (U6-L6 AP diff). When the mandibular body length is shorter on the Class II side, molars presented more Class II. (Figure 2)

| Asymmetry group <br> (N=34) | U6-L6 AP diff on Class II side |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Correlation coefficient | p-value |
| Menton to MSP | -0.18 | NS |
| Ramus length | -0.04 | NS |
| Mn body length | -0.11 | NS |
| Mn total length | 0.37 | 0.03 |
| U6.to.S.perp | -0.07 | NS |
| L6.to.S.perp | 0.65 | NS |

- There was a positive correlation ( $r=0.65, p<.0001$ ) between L6 anterior-posterior position difference of the Class I and Class II sides and the degree of Class II malocclusion. The more anterior L6 on Class I side or the more posterior L6 on the Class II side, the greater degree of Class II malocclusion. (Figure 3)



## CONCLUSION

- $31.5 \%$ of subjects showed significant skeletal asymmetry defined as Me deviation > 2 mm to Class II side.
There was no significant difference in skeletal size between Class I and Class II sides for the whole cohort ( $\mathrm{N}=108$ ). However, there was a significant difference in total mandibular length between Class I and Class II sides in the asymmetry group ( $\mathrm{N}=34$ ). This appeared to result from shorter ramus length on the Class II side.
Degree of Class II malocclusion did not show a strong correlation to skeletal asymmetry and was most affected by dental L6 AP position. However, it did show a statistically significant weak positive correlation with mandibular body length difference between Class I and Class II sides.
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